## **Tutorial 9** CS3241 Computer Graphics (AY22/23)

November 7, 2023

## Wong Pei Xian

🖂 e0389023@u.nus.edu

## Question 1

QUESTION 1

Considering the rendering efficiency and rendering quality on a standard polygon-based rasterization renderer, what are the disadvantages of representing surfaces using polygon mesh compared to using Bézier patches?

## QUESTION 1 QUESTION 2 QUESTION 3 Recap: Parametric QUESTION 4 QUESTION 5 QUESTION 6 QUESTION 7 QUESTION 8 QUESTION 8

## Adaptive subdivision

De Casteljau's algorithm can be used to **generate polygons** adaptively. Less screen space  $\Rightarrow$  less levels of subdivision  $\Rightarrow$  less vertices. More screen space  $\Rightarrow$  more levels of subdivision  $\Rightarrow$  more vertices.



QUESTION 1

Compared to polygon mesh which has **fixed vertex/polygon count**, facing:

- poorer efficiency for small meshes which need less vertices
- poorer fidelity for large meshes which cannot achieve smooth curves

# Question 2

Propose a way to measure the "flatness" of a cubic Bézier curve segment in 2D space. Can your method be extended to a cubic Bézier curve segment in 3D space? QUESTION 1 QUESTION 2 QUESTION 3 RECAP: PARAMETRIC QUESTION 4 QUESTION 5 QUESTION 6 QUESTION 7 QUESTION 8 QUESTION 000 000000

## Convex hull



Flatness estimate f

00

**Case 1**:  $f = \max(d_1, d_2)$ **Case 2**:  $f = \max(d_1, d_2)$ 

Q: How to determine cases 1 or 2? A: determine if the intersection of the lines formed by  $p_1p_2$  and  $p_0p_3$  lies within  $p_0, p_3$  QUESTION 1 QUESTION 2 QUESTION 3 RECAP: PARAMETRIC QUESTION 4 QUESTION 5 QUESTION 6 QUESTION 7 QUESTION 8 QUESTION 000000

## Question 3

# Propose a way to measure the "flatness" of a cubic Bézier **surface patch**.

## Extending Q2



Define the "average plane" (in red) as  $N \cdot p = N \cdot \frac{q_{0,0}+q_{0,3}+q_{3,0}+q_{3,3}}{4}$ . (where N is the average of the two normals of  $q_{0,0}$ ,  $q_{0,3}$ ,  $q_{3,0}$  and  $q_{3,0}$ ,  $q_{0,3}$ ,  $q_{3,3}$ ).

"Flatness" = average of distance between average plane and each point.

QUESTION 1 QUESTION 2 QUESTION 3 Recap: Parametric QUESTION 4 QUESTION 5 QUESTION 6 QUESTION 7 QUESTION 8 QUESTION 000 00 00 00 000000

## Question 4

Given 4 control points  $p_0$ ,  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$  for a cubic Bézier curve segment p(u), find the 4 control points  $q_0$ ,  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$ ,  $q_3$  for the cubic interpolating curve segment q(u) such that q(u) = p(u).

Cubic Bézier to Cubic interpolating

Recap: Parametric

The corresponding 
$$q_k = q(\frac{k}{3}) = p(\frac{k}{3})$$
 (since  $q(u) = p(u)$ ).

$$q(0) = p_0$$

$$q(\frac{1}{3}) = p(\frac{1}{3})$$

$$q(\frac{2}{3}) = p(\frac{2}{3})$$

$$q(1) = p_1$$

Directly compute *q* from *p*.

## QUESTION 1 QUESTION 2 Question 3 Recap: Parametric QUESTION 4 Question 5 QUESTION 6 QUESTION 7 QUESTION 8 000 000 00 000 00 000 00 00 00

## Question 5

Given 4 control points  $q_0$ ,  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$ ,  $q_3$  for the cubic interpolating curve segment q(u), find the 4 control points  $p_0$ ,  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$  for a cubic Bézier curve segment p(u) such that p(u) = q(u). Cubic interpolating to Cubic bezier

Let's look at our constraints:

$$p(0) = p_0$$
  

$$p(1) = p_3$$
  

$$p'(0) = 3(p_1 - p_0)$$
  

$$p'(1) = 3(p_3 - p_2)$$

00

Cubic interpolating to Cubic bezier

Now we use the fact that p(u) = q(u):

$$p_{0} = p(0) = q(0) = q_{0}$$

$$p_{3} = p(1) = q(1) = q_{3}$$

$$p'(0) = q'(0) = 3(p_{1} - p_{0})$$

$$p'(1) = q'(1) = 3(p_{3} - p_{2})$$

QUESTION 4

For  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  we obtain them via:

$$q'(o) = 3(p_1 - p_o) \Rightarrow \frac{q'(o)}{3} + p_o = p_1$$

and

$$q'(1) = 3(p_3 - p_2) \Rightarrow p_3 - \frac{q'(1)}{3} = p_2$$

## Question 6

Given two cubic Bezier curve segments, p(u) and q(u), that are to be joined together, where p(1) = q(0). The control points of p(u) are  $p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3$  and the control points of q(u) are  $q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3$ . How should the control points of q(u) be positioned so that there is  $C^1$  continuity at the join point of p(u) and q(u)?

QUESTION 5

#### 

## Continuity

### **Geometric and Parametric Continuity**

- Consider two curve segments,  $\mathbf{p}(u)$  and  $\mathbf{q}(u)$
- If p(1) = q(0), we say there is C<sup>0</sup> parametric continuity at the join point
- If p'(1) = q'(0), we say there is C<sup>1</sup> parametric continuity at the join point



- If  $\mathbf{p}'(1) = \alpha \mathbf{q}'(0)$ , for some positive number  $\alpha$ , we say there is  $G^1$  geometric continuity at the join point
- We can extend the idea to higher derivatives and talk about *C*<sup>*n*</sup> and *G*<sup>*n*</sup> continuity

# Question 1 Question 2 Question 3 Recap: Parametric Question 4 Question 5 Question 6 Question 7 Question 8 Question 8 000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00

## $C^1$ continuity

- 1. p(1) = p(0)
- 2. First derivative of p at end = first derivative of q at start: p'(1) = q'(0).

$$p(1) = p_3 = q_0 = q(0)$$
  

$$p'(1) = 3(p_3 - p_2) = 3(q_1 - q_0) = q'(0)$$

## Question 7

### Sketch two 2D curves that have $C^{\circ}$ continuity but not $C^{1}$ continuity.

QUESTION 1 QUESTION 2 QUESTION 3 RECAP: PARAMETRIC QUESTION 4 QUESTION 5 QUESTION 6 QUESTION 7 QUESTION 8 QUES

## Question 8



 $C^{\circ}$  as both curves are joined  $p_3 = q_{\circ}$ . Not  $C^1$  as  $p'(1) \neq q'(\circ)$ . 

## Question 8

Sketch two 2D curves that have  $C^1$  continuity but not  $C^\circ$  continuity. Is it even possible to have such a situation?

QUESTION 1 QUESTION 2 QUESTION 3 Recap: PARAMETRIC QUESTION 4 QUESTION 5 QUESTION 6 QUESTION 7 QUESTION 8 QUESTION 8 QUESTION 9 QUES

## Question 8



$$C^{1}$$
 as  $p'(1) = q'(0)$ .  
Not  $C^{0}$  as  $p(1) \neq q(0)$ .

## Question 9

Given 4 control points  $p_0$ ,  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$  for a cubic Bézier curve segment p(u), and any  $0 \le u \le 1$  show that the De Casteljau algorithm produces the point p(u).

$$p(u) = (1-u)^{3}p_{0} + 3u(1-u)^{2}p_{1} + 3u^{2}(1-u)p_{2} + u^{3}p_{3}$$
 (1)

QUESTION 8

QUESTION 1 QUESTION 2 QUESTION 3 Recap: Parametric QUESTION 4 QUESTION 5 QUESTION 6 QUESTION 7 QUESTION 7 QUESTION 6 QUESTION 7 QUES

## De Casteljau's Algorithm



## Approach: Unpack the Lerps

Linear Interpolation of degree *t* along points *a*, *b*:

$$lerp(t, a, b) = (1 - t)a + b$$
 (2)

õõ

## Unpacked all lerps

 $q_0 = (1-u) p_0 + u p_1$  $q_1 = (1-u) p_1 + u p_2$  $\mathbf{q}_2 = (1-u) \mathbf{p}_2 + u \mathbf{p}_3$  $\mathbf{r}_0 = (1 - u) \mathbf{q}_0 + u \mathbf{q}_1$  $\mathbf{r}_1 = (1-u) \mathbf{q}_1 + u \mathbf{q}_2$  $\mathbf{p} = (1 - u) \mathbf{r}_0 + u \mathbf{r}_1$ =  $(1-u) ((1-u) \mathbf{q}_0 + u \mathbf{q}_1) + u((1-u) \mathbf{q}_1 + u \mathbf{q}_2)$  $= (1-u)^2 \mathbf{q}_0 + 2u(1-u) \mathbf{q}_1 + u^2 \mathbf{q}_2$ =  $(1-u)^2 ((1-u) \mathbf{p}_0 + u \mathbf{p}_1) + 2u(1-u) ((1-u) \mathbf{p}_1 + u \mathbf{p}_2) + u^2 ((1-u) \mathbf{p}_2 + u \mathbf{p}_3)$ =  $(1-u)^3 \mathbf{p}_0 + 3u (1-u)^2 \mathbf{p}_1 + 3u^2 (1-u) \mathbf{p}_2 + u^3 \mathbf{p}_3$ 

```
      Question 1
      Question 2
      Question 3
      Recap: Parametric
      Question 4
      Question 5
      Question 6
      Question 7
      Question 8
      Question 8

      000
      000
      00
      000
      000
      000
      000
      000
      000
      000
      000000
```

## Pseudocode

```
vec3 recursive_decasteljau(vector<vec3> points, float u) {
    // points.size() >= 1.
    if (points.size() == 1) {
        return points[0];
    }
    vector<vec3> interpolated_points(points.size() - 1);
    for (int i = 0; i < points.size() - 1; i++) {
            interpolated_points[i] = interpolate(points[i], points[i+1], u);
        }
    return recursive_decasteljau(interpolated_points, u);
}
</pre>
```

# Attendance taking

## Thanks! Get the slides here after the tutorial.



## https://trxe.github.io/cs3241-notes